| 1 | Q. | Whee | Wheeling: | | | | | |
|----|----|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | a) | What is the current wheeling charge for Industrial Customers? How | | | | | |
| 3 | | | was it determined? When was it last changed, and why was it then | | | | | |
| 4 | | | changed? | | | | | |
| 5 | | b) | Explain in detail, setting out all calculations and indicating the source | | | | | |
| 6 | | | of all information as required in Schedule 1.5 of the Cost of Service | | | | | |
| 7 | | | Study (particularly the source of the line 2 MWh estimate) how the | | | | | |
| 8 | | | proposed wheeling rate was determined. Explain why the wheeling | | | | | |
| 9 | | | rate has increased by 7.1% (see P.R. Hamilton, Table 2 at page 9). | | | | | |
| 10 | | c) | Explain how forecast revenue from wheeling (\$6,950, as referenced in | | | | | |
| 11 | | | (b) above) is derived and applied in the calculation of revenue to Cost | | | | | |
| 12 | | | Coverage ratios in Schedule 1.2. Confirm that wheeling revenue is | | | | | |
| 13 | | | included as an "expense credit" for Transmission Demand costs in | | | | | |
| 14 | | | Schedule 2.1A. | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Α. | a) | The current wheeling charge for Industrial Customers is \$0.00649 per | | | | | |
| 17 | | | kilowatt hour. It was determined in the same manner as the proposed | | | | | |
| 18 | | | rate (refer to response to part b, below), using 1994 budget data. It | | | | | |
| 19 | | | was last changed in 1994, based on that budget, as were other | | | | | |
| 20 | | | industrial rates. | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | b) | The proposed wheeling rate was determined as follows: | | | | | |
| 23 | | | Island Interconnected Transmission | | | | | |
| 24 | | | Revenue Requirement \$43,918,606 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | (Source: Exhibit JAB-1, page 28, Column 5) | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | Divided by: | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | |

| | | | 2001 General | IC-34(Rev) Rate Application | | |
|----|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Transmissio | on Energy Output (M) | Wh) | Page 2 of 2 6,315,428 | | |
| | | | , | 0,010,120 | | |
| | | and Bulk Deliveries | 6.346.400 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Less: | - | | | | |
| | Plus: | Rounding | 28 | | | |
| | | - | 6,315,428 | | | |
| | Divided by | | 1,000 | | | |
| | Equals | | \$.00695 (\$/kWh) | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | The increase in the wheeling rate is due to the increased costs from | | | | | |
| | 1994 to 2002. As the transmission energy has also increased, the | | | | | |
| | resultant increase to the rate was somewhat mitigated. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| c) | The forecast revenue from wheeling is derived as follows: | | | | | |
| | Wheeling e | nergy forecast (kWh) |) | 1,000,000 | | |
| | Multiplied b | y Rate per kWh | | <u>\$0.00695</u> | | |
| | | | | \$ 6,950 | | |
| | The wheeling revenue is included as an expense credit, reducing the | | | | | |
| | total transmission demand costs assigned to the Island Interconnected | | | | | |
| | System (Schedule 2.1 A., Line 14, Column 5). This results in a lower | | | | | |
| | Cost of Service, which is the denominator in the Revenue to Cost | | | | | |
| | Coverage c | alculation. | | | | |
| | с) | Source: Total Sales (Source H.0 Less: Plus: Divided by Equals The increas 1994 to 200 resultant in c) The forecas Wheeling e Multiplied b The wheelin total transm System (So | Source: Total Sales and Bulk Deliveries (Source H.G. Budgell, Schedule Less: Compensation Plus: Rounding Divided by Equals The increase in the wheeling rate 1994 to 2002. As the transmissi resultant increase to the rate wa c) The forecast revenue from wheele Wheeling energy forecast (kWh) Multiplied by Rate per kWh The wheeling revenue is include total transmission demand costs System (Schedule 2.1 A., Line 1 | Transmission Energy Output (MWh) Source: Total Sales and Bulk Deliveries 6,346,400 (Source H.G. Budgell, Schedule 5) Less: Compensation (31,000) Plus: Rounding 28 6,315,428 | | |